



## Communication

## Durability of concrete incorporating large volumes of low-quality fly ash

Linhua Jiang\*, Zhenqing Liu, Yiqun Ye

*Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Hohai University, Nanjing 210098, People's Republic of China*

Received 8 August 2003; accepted 30 December 2003

## Abstract

The carbonation, corrosion of steel reinforcement in concrete and corrosion resistance of concrete, incorporating large volumes of low-quality fly ash (LVLQFA), were studied. The effect of concentration of carbon dioxide used in the experiment on estimating the carbonation resistance of LVLQFA concrete were also investigated. Test results show that the LVLQFA concrete with an activator has good carbonation and corrosion resistances of steel reinforcement. The corrosion resistance of LVLQFA concrete is better than that of the control concrete. The concentration of carbon dioxide used in the experiment has considerable effect on estimating the carbonation resistance of LVLQFA concrete.

© 2004 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

*Keywords:* Low-quality fly ash; Concrete; Durability

## 1. Introduction

In China, the total coal-ash production was more than 160 million tons in 2000. To increase the use of fly ash, and to improve the properties of concrete, many investigations on high-volume fly-ash concrete have been made at Hohai University and others [1–7]. In general, some classified high-quality fly ash has been used in concrete. Many other kinds of fly ash, due to their low quality, are still unused. The total usage rate of fly ash in concrete is very low. It is, therefore, necessary to investigate and develop a concrete incorporating large volumes of low-quality fly ash (LVLQFA) to increase considerably the utilization of fly ash. This paper reports the results of tests of durability of LVLQFA concrete. The work is part of a research project on LVLQFA concrete.

## 2. Experimental

## 2.1. Materials

The cement used was Portland Cement. The fly ash was obtained from Hua Neng Power Plant, Nanjing, China.

The chemical analyses of fly ash are presented in Table 1 and the fineness of the fly ash (retained on a 45- $\mu\text{m}$  sieve) was 28.8%. The fine aggregate was natural sand from Nanjing and the coarse aggregate was a crushed limestone, with a maximum size of 31.5 mm. The admixtures used in this study were water-reducing admixture (JM-II) and an activator (HHA).

## 2.2. Concrete mixtures and specimens

The mixture proportions for the concrete are given in Table 2. The  $100 \times 100 \times 400 \text{ mm}^3$  concrete specimens were cast for carbonation, corrosion of steel reinforcement in concrete and corrosion resistance (flexural strength) testing, while the  $100 \times 100 \times 100 \text{ mm}^3$  concrete specimens were cast for corrosion resistance (compressive strength) testing. For the corrosion of steel reinforcement in concrete testing, the diameter of the reinforcing steel bar was 6.5 mm and the concrete cover was 25 mm. All specimens were cured at  $20 \pm 3^\circ\text{C}$  and 100% relative humidity for 28 days prior to exposure to a particular test or test environment.

Table 1  
Chemical analyses of fly ash

| CaO  | SiO <sub>2</sub> | Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> | Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> | MgO  | TiO <sub>2</sub> | Na <sub>2</sub> O | K <sub>2</sub> O | SO <sub>3</sub> |
|------|------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 3.60 | 53.52            | 34.26                          | 3.10                           | 0.92 | 0.97             | 0.71              | 0.97             | 0.45            |

\* Corresponding author. Tel./fax: +86-25-83787972.

E-mail address: [jiang\\_linhua@hotmail.com](mailto:jiang_linhua@hotmail.com) (L. Jiang).

Table 2  
Proportions of concrete mixtures

| Mix number | F/<br>(F + C) | W/<br>(F + C) | Quantities (kg/m <sup>3</sup> ) |        |         |                |                  | JM-II<br>(%) | HHA<br>(%) |
|------------|---------------|---------------|---------------------------------|--------|---------|----------------|------------------|--------------|------------|
|            |               |               | Water                           | Cement | Fly ash | Fine aggregate | Coarse aggregate |              |            |
| C1         | 0             | 0.45          | 153                             | 340    | –       | 646            | 1251             | 1.0          | 0          |
| C2         | 40            | 0.45          | 153                             | 204    | 136     | 649            | 1258             | 1.0          | 0          |
| C3         | 40            | 0.45          | 153                             | 204    | 136     | 636            | 1272             | 1.0          | 11.6       |
| C00        | 0             | 0.50          | 165                             | 330    | –       | 640            | 1188             | 0.5          | 0          |
| A40        | 40            | 0.40          | 152                             | 228    | 152     | 642            | 1189             | 0.8          | 0          |
| A60        | 60            | 0.40          | 152                             | 152    | 228     | 631            | 1170             | 1.0          | 0          |

### 2.3. Tests

The carbonation test was conducted in a test chamber kept at a temperature of 20 °C and a 70% relative humidity. The concentrations of CO<sub>2</sub> were 3% and 20%. The depth of carbonation was determined by removing a slice of about 50-mm thick from the specimen, spraying the freshly broken samples with a phenolphthalein indicator, and measuring the depth to the colour change. The depth of carbonation was measured at eight points, each side, using a scale that enabled a single measurement of depth of carbonation to be made to within  $\pm 1$  mm.

The corrosion test of steel reinforcement in concrete was conducted in two ways. One way was the dry–wet cycle. After curing, the specimens were oven dried at 80 °C for 4 days, soaked in 5% NaCl for 2 days and oven dried at 60 °C for 12 days. Then, the conditioning cycle was repeated. The other was carbonation and dry–wet cycle. The specimens were carbonated at 20% CO<sub>2</sub> first, then the dry–wet cycle was repeated. The corrosion of steel reinforcement in concrete was assessed using linear polarization methods.

The corrosion resistance test was performed with 5% Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution and 5% HCl solution.

## 3. Results and discussion

### 3.1. Carbonation

The carbonation test results are given in Table 3. Table 3 shows that at the concentration of 20% CO<sub>2</sub>, the depth of carbonation of the LVLQFA concrete is greater than the

Table 3  
Experiments' results of carbonation of concrete

| Mix number | Depth of carbonation (mm)               |      |      |      |  |      |      |      |
|------------|---|------|------|------|--|------|------|------|
|            | Concentration of CO <sub>2</sub> of 20% |      |      |      | Concentration of CO <sub>2</sub> of 3% |      |      |      |
|            | 3d                                      | 7d   | 14d  | 28d  | 3d                                     | 7d   | 14d  | 28d  |
| C1         | 7.7                                     | 10.4 | 12.7 | 14.8 | 7.8                                    | 11.2 | 12.3 | 13.8 |
| C2         | 12.2                                    | 15.7 | 19.4 | 22.4 | 11.3                                   | 14.1 | 15.8 | 17.7 |
| C3         | 10.3                                    | 12.4 | 14.1 | 15.9 | 8.1                                    | 11.4 | 12.4 | 13.7 |

control concrete, especially at the early-age carbonation. The activator can improve the carbonation resistance of LVLQFA concrete. At the exposure duration of 28 days, the carbonation depth of the LVLQFA concrete is close to the control concrete. At the concentration of 3% CO<sub>2</sub>, the depth of carbonation of the LVLQFA concrete without an activator is greater than others. The carbonation depth of LVLQFA concrete with an activator is close to the control concrete. It is seen, therefore, that the concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> used in the experiment has considerable effect on estimating the carbonation resistance of LVLQFA concrete.

### 3.2. Corrosion of steel reinforcement in concrete

Table 4 presents the results obtained by the linear polarization technique. It is seen that the corrosion resistance of steel reinforcement in the LVLQFA concrete without an activator is lower than the control concrete. The activator can increase the corrosion resistance of steel reinforcement in LVLQFA concrete. The corrosion resistance of steel reinforcement in LVLQFA concrete with an activator can approach that of the control concrete.

### 3.3. Corrosion resistance

The changes of concrete strength with the exposure time are presented in Table 5. It can be seen that, for normal curing, the strengths of all mixtures increase with the increase of curing period. The later-strength of LVLQFA concrete increases greatly. For the concrete immersed in 5% Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution, the compressive strengths of all mixtures also increase with the increase of age. The compressive strengths of concrete immersed in 5% HCl solution decreases significantly. The flexural strengths of LVLQFA concrete immersed in 5% Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and 5% HCl solution are higher than that of the corresponding concrete normally cured. The flexural strength of the control concrete immersed in 5% HCl solution is lower than that of the corresponding concrete normally cured.

To compare the corrosion resistance effectively, the corrosion resistance coefficient  $K$  is used.

$$K = f_{ci}/f_{cs} \quad (1)$$

Table 4  
Experiments' results of corrosion of steel reinforcement in concrete

| Mix number | Dry–wet cycles                                     |                                       |  |                                       | Carbonation and dry–wet cycles                     |                                       |  |                                       |
|------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
|            | Before   |                                       | After  |                                       | Before   |                                       | After  |                                       |
|            | $I_{\text{corr}}$<br>( $\mu\text{A}/\text{cm}^2$ ) | $R$<br>( $\text{k}\Omega\text{ cm}$ ) | $I_{\text{corr}}$<br>( $\mu\text{A}/\text{cm}^2$ ) | $R$<br>( $\text{k}\Omega\text{ cm}$ ) | $I_{\text{corr}}$<br>( $\mu\text{A}/\text{cm}^2$ ) | $R$<br>( $\text{k}\Omega\text{ cm}$ ) | $I_{\text{corr}}$<br>( $\mu\text{A}/\text{cm}^2$ ) | $R$<br>( $\text{k}\Omega\text{ cm}$ ) |
| C1         | 0.018  | –                                     | 1.105  | 15.60                                 | 0.045  | –                                     | 0.131  | 12.46                                 |
| C2         | 0.015  | –                                     | 1.327  | 20.86                                 | 0.076  | –                                     | 0.356  | 21.61                                 |
| C3         | 0.013  | –                                     | 1.019  | 13.80                                 | 0.053  | –                                     | 0.158  | 14.85                                 |

$I_{\text{corr}}$ : Corrosion current density;  $R$ : Resistivity.

Table 5  
Strength of the concrete

| Mix number | Compressive strength (MPa) |      |      |      |      |      | Flexural strength (MPa) |      |      |      |      |      |
|------------|----------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|-------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|
|            | A                          |      | B    |      | C    |      | A                       |      | B    |      | C    |      |
|            | 56d                        | 118d | 56d  | 118d | 56d  | 118d | 56d                     | 118d | 56d  | 118d | 56d  | 118d |
| C00        | 56.0                       | 61.6 | 55.9 | 59.0 | 34.7 | 32.7 | 4.58                    | 5.32 | 5.74 | 5.36 | 3.94 | 3.45 |
| A40        | 49.3                       | 66.6 | 53.8 | 63.2 | 48.5 | 40.2 | 4.96                    | 5.26 | 5.47 | 6.11 | 5.06 | 6.03 |
| A60        | 37.0                       | 49.1 | 42.8 | 51.3 | 40.3 | 42.4 | 3.80                    | 4.07 | 4.01 | 5.15 | 4.05 | 4.26 |

A: Cured by standard ( $20 \pm 3$  °C and more than 95% relative humidity); B: Immersed in 5%  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ ; C: Immersed in 5% HCl.

Where  $f_{\text{ci}}$  is the compressive strength of concrete immersed in corrosive solution,  $f_{\text{cs}}$  is the compressive strength of the normally cured concrete. The corrosion resistance coefficients of concrete are given in Table 6.

It can be seen that, for the concrete immersed in corrosive solution, the corrosion resistance coefficients decrease with the increase of the exposure time. The corrosion resistance of LVLQFA concrete is better than that of the control concrete.

#### 4. Conclusions

The following conclusions can be drawn from this study:

1. The use of an activator can improve the carbonation resistance of LVLQFA concrete. The carbonation resistance of LVLQFA concrete with an activator is close to the control concrete.
2. The activator can improve the corrosion resistance of steel reinforcement in LVLQFA concrete caused by carbonation and seawater. The corrosion resistance of steel reinforcement in LVLQFA concrete with an activator is close to the control concrete.

3. The corrosion resistance of LVLQFA concrete in 5%  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$  and 5% HCl solution is better than that of the control concrete.
4. The concentration of carbon dioxide has a considerable effect on estimating the carbonation resistance of LVLQFA concrete. Further investigation is needed to obtain a suitable concentration of carbon dioxide for LVLQFA concrete carbonation test.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank Ministry of Education, People's Republic of China for their financial support.

#### References

- [1] L.H. Jiang, B.Y. Lin, Y.B. Cai, Studies on hydration in high-volume fly ash concrete binders, *ACI Mater. J.* 96 (6) (1999) 703–706.
- [2] L.H. Jiang, Y.G. Guan, Pore structure and its effect on strength of high-volume fly ash paste, *Cem. Concr. Res.* 29 (4) (1999) 631–633.
- [3] L.H. Jiang, The interfacial zone and bond strength between aggregates and cement pastes incorporating high volumes of fly ash, *Cem. Concr. Compos.* 21 (4) (1999) 313–316.
- [4] L.H. Jiang, B.Y. Lin, Y.B. Cai, A model for predicting carbonation of high-volume fly ash concrete, *Cem. Concr. Res.* 30 (5) (2000) 699–702.
- [5] L.H. Jiang, V.M. Malhotra, Reduction in water demand of non-air-entrained concrete incorporating large volumes of fly ash, *Cem. Concr. Res.* 30 (11) (2000) 1785–1789.
- [6] A. Bilodeau, V.M. Malhotra, High-volume fly ash system: The concrete solution for sustainable development, *CANMET/ACI International Symposium on Sustainable Development of the Cement and Concrete Industry*, Ottawa, 1998, pp. 193–214.
- [7] P. Gu, J.J. Beaudoin, M.H. Zhang, V.M. Malhotra, Performance of steel reinforcement in Portland Cement and high-volume fly ash concretes, *CANMET/ACI International Symposium on Sustainable Development of the Cement and Concrete Industry*, Ottawa, 1998, pp. 467–489.

Table 6  
Coefficients of corrosion resistance of concrete

| Mix number | B     |       | C     |       |
|------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
|            | 56d   | 118d  | 56d   | 118d  |
| C00        | 0.998 | 0.958 | 0.620 | 0.531 |
| A40        | 1.091 | 0.949 | 0.984 | 0.604 |
| A60        | 1.157 | 1.045 | 1.089 | 0.864 |

B: Immersed in 5%  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ ; C: Immersed in 5% HCl.